

SW Test Workshop Semiconductor Wafer Test Workshop

A Novel Measurement Method for Measuring CCC and MAC at once



Matthias Schnaithmann Achim Weiland Gunther Böhm FEINMETALL GmbH

June 3-6, 2018

Objectives



Matthias Schnaithmann

CCC a Topic Often Presented at the SWTW



SW Test Workshop | June 3-6, 2018

Matthias Schnaithmann

Current Carrying Capability – Main Presentations

New Methodology for Probe Current Carrying Capacity (CCC) Characterization

Ron Kirby (ronald.m.kirby@intel.com) Hongfei Yan (hongfei.yan@intel.com) June 7th, 2004

Intel Corporation



IEEE SW Test Workshop Semiconductor Wafer Test Workshop

June 7-10, 2009 San Diego, CA

ISMI Probe Counci Current Carrying Capability Measurement Standard

> E Boyd Daniels Probe Council Chairman Texas Instruments



SW Test Workshop Semiconductor Wafer Test Workshop June 7 - 10, 2015 | San Diego, California

Determining Probe's Maximum Allowable Current



FORMFACTOR INC

Amer Cassier, Richard Folwarski (Qualcomm Technologies Inc.) Jarek Kister, Amy Leong (FormFactor)

Quakcomm is a trademark of Quakcomm Incorporated, registered in the United States and other countries, used with permission. Other product and brand names may be trademarks or registered trademarks of their respective owners.

Matthias Schnaithmann



Matthias Schnaithmann



SW Test Workshop | June 3-6, 2018

Matthias Schnaithmann

6

• Standard CCC (ISMI 2009)



Matthias Schnaithmann

Influence on the CCC Result

CCC according to ISMI 2009

Vertical probe card technology

→ DO WE COMPARE COMPARABLE FORCES?

Evaluation position of the forceInitial force

Matthias Schnaithmann

Evaluation Position of the Force



Matthias Schnaithmann

• Initial Force

The initial force has a large impact on the CCC result!

→ IS THE INITIAL FORCE WELL DEFINED? *

Influence?

- Velocity at Touch Down
- Relative movements



Matthias Schnaithmann

Velocity at Touch Down



Matthias Schnaithmann

Velocity at Touch Down



Matthias Schnaithmann

Velocity at Touch Down



Matthias Schnaithmann

Relative Movements



Matthias Schnaithmann

Relative Movements



Matthias Schnaithmann

Influence on the CCC Result

CCC according to ISMI 2009

Vertical probe card technology

Better understanding Better CC-data needed → DO WE COMPARE COMPARABLE FORCES?

> Evaluation position of the force - Initial force

Matthias Schnaithmann

Understanding the CCC-Raw-Data





OD

Matthias Schnaithmann

Understanding the CCC-Raw-Data





Matthias Schnaithmann

Understanding the CCC-Raw-Data



Matthias Schnaithmann

Understanding the CCC-Raw-Data





SW Test Workshop | June 3-6, 2018

Matthias Schnaithmann

Understanding the CCC-Raw-Data



9
Contactina
contacting
\rightarrow F _{force sensor} = F _{BucklingBeam} + F _{friction LGP}
Decontacting
F _{force sensor} = F _{BucklingBeam} F _{friction LGP}

Matthias Schnaithmann

Understanding the CCC-Raw-Data



Current On \rightarrow F_{force sensor} = F_{BucklingBeam} + F_{friction LGP}

Matthias Schnaithmann

Understanding the CCC-Raw-Data



Current On \Rightarrow $F_{force \ sensor} = F_{BucklingBeam} + F_{friction \ LGP}$ Current Off \Rightarrow $F_{force \ sensor} = F_{BucklingBeam} - F_{friction \ LGP}$

Matthias Schnaithmann

Force vs. Overdrive Plots Before and After Current Load



Matthias Schnaithmann

Force vs. Overdrive Plots Before and After Current Load



CCC according to ISMI 2009

Vertical probe card technology

→ The FORCES are not comparable

More Comparable Method
 FORCE measurements
 @ nominal overdrive
 between
 the different current steps

Comparable forces!



5 FORCE measurements @ nominal Overdrive



Next CURRENT step applied 120 s CURRENT ON



30 s CURRENT OFF



Restart again with 1.

End with 5 FORCE measurements @ nominal Overdrive

Matthias Schnaithmann



SW Test Workshop | June 3-6, 2018

Matthias Schnaithmann

Better and More Comparable Method



Matthias Schnaithmann

Better and More Comparable Method



Matthias Schnaithmann

Better and More Comparable Method



Matthias Schnaithmann

Better and More Comparable Method



SW Test Workshop | June 3-6, 2018

Matthias Schnaithmann

Results

• Comparison: OLD vs. NEW Method



Higher CCC with new method
Variance is much better
(NEW: +/- 3% vs. OLD: +/- 10%)

→ FORCE COMPARISON is much more reliable

Matthias Schnaithmann

Results

• ViProbe & MµProbe



CCC values correlate very well with the contact element cross-section

→ Comparison of 2 different materials High temperature Vs. High Current

Matthias Schnaithmann

Conclusion

CCC (ISMI 2009)
 Vertical Probe Cards
 Comparison of not comparable forces

New method presented:

 - CCC
 - MAC

 Forces are comparable and more stable

Matthias Schnaithmann

Follow-On Work

Pulsed Current Carrying Capability (PCCC)

Numerical / Analytical Model (CCC and PCCC)

Comparison CCC / PCCC with Real Environment Experiments

Matthias Schnaithmann

Acknowledgement

Thank you!

Lutz Benedix FEINMETALL GmbH

Birgit Walloch FEINMETALL GmbH

Daniel Malitius FEINMETALL GmbH

Steffen Beutler FEINMETALL GmbH **Head Design**

-

Head & Probe Assembly, Mechanical Reliability Tests

Head & Probe Assembly, Mechanical Reliability Tests

Force & CCC Measurements

Matthias Schnaithmann