

# A Vertical Probe Solution for the High-Density 5G Market

Aug. 30 - Sep. 1, 2021



Don Thompson (*R&D Altanova*) Svetlana Sejas (*SV Probe*)

# **Perimeter Probing is Great!**

- The established solution for RF probing
- RF signals go straight to Coax connectors
- PCBs are very simple
- Very simple routing and very high performance!

### Except when it isn't...

- Often low compliance
- High RF channel count is a problem
- High site count is a problem
- Density leads to complex cable routing and expensive delicate cabling solutions



### "We Need Vertical RF Probing" – 5G Market

There's no way to do that MLOs can't go that fast

You can't match perimeter probing performance

That's too complicated Vertical probe performance isn't good enough

Show me!

**Prove it!** 



### **The Dream Solution:**

High Density RF Vertical Probe

#### Advantages:

- High RF signal density (50+ RF channels)
- Allows for high site count
- Good compliance and familiar probe behavior
- Allows for PCB mounted RF circuits for BALUNs, filters, etc.
- Allows PCB mounted switching which can significantly reduced cabling

#### **Technology Gaps:**

- Need high performance vias in both MLO and probe PCB
- Need multiple crossing RF routing layers - can't just be microstrip
- Need a vertical probe solution with high bandwidth

### **Two Piece Puzzle**





#### **RF Vertical Probe**

#### RF MLO + RF Probe PCB

### **Proposed Vertical Probe Card Architecture**





The goal of this presentation is high-light the capabilities of a high-speed RF MLO and find the right opportunity to advance the industry capabilities at wafer test.

### **Eval Board Results**

#### Probe head performance measurements:

- Loopback
- Probe head to coax

#### Each transition with bracketed impedance steps:

- Coax via
- Solder ball interface

#### **Characterize loss for:**

- MLO transition
- RDL layers (0.5", 1", 2")
- Stripline (0.5", 2", 8")
- Solder ball via transition
- Coax Via



# 1. Trace & Transition Performance

### **RDL Insertion Loss (0.1", 0.5", 2")**

Probes are de-embedded, but transitions remain



### RDL Return Loss (0.1", 0.5", 2")



SWTest | Aug. 30 – Sep. 1, 2021

# Stripline Insertion Loss (0.1", 0.5", 2")

#### Probes are de-embedded, but transitions remain



### **Stripline Return Loss (0.1", 0.5", 2")**



#### RDL vs. Stripline vs. Microstrip Measured Insertion Loss Per Inch



## 2. Add Coax Via

### 2xVia + 1.0" Trace Insertion Loss



16

### 2xVia + 1.0" Trace Return Loss



SWTest | Aug. 30 – Sep. 1, 2021

### **3. Add BGA Transition** Also Known As "Full Path"

### **Solder-Ball Connection Measurements**



#### **"Full Path"** *1in Trace, 2 Vias, 2 Solder Ball Attach*



#### With and Without Solder Balls Compared Insertion Loss



21

#### With and Without Solder Balls Compared Return Loss



### **RF Coax MLO Conclusions**

- All MLO structures are performing well up to 40 GHz
- Some non-linear roll off occurring around 50 GHz; appears to be linked to coax via transition
- Probe head measurements is presented next



### SV probe and RDA – Probe Head and MLO design

For the assessment of signal path measurements of SV Probe Head connected to RDA MLO was performed at high frequencies.

- 1. RDA MLO with and without COAX via.
- 2. SV Probe head with different ground configurations.





#### **Test setup**

There are two different pitches at the test vehicle: 150 um at the Probe Head and 250 um at the MLO. For that reason, two different configuration were tested to performed the measurements:

- 1. Hybrid calibration for 150um-250um pitches (picture 1)
- 2. Calibration for 250 um (picture 2)

Picture 1. Probe pitch 250 um and Probe pitch 150 um





## **Measurement setup**



- The first set of measurement were performed with 250um probes at MLO and 150um probes at the Probe Head.
- The second set of measurement were performed with 250um probes at MLO and 250um probes at the Probe Head.

### **Measurement with probes 250 um**



Cases presented here are A and B with and without coax vias measured with a pitch of 250um.



### **CASE A, two ground probes**

Case A9 (Length 16.9 mm) Case A8 (Length 25.0 mm) + 2\*Coax Vias



## **CASE B, four ground probes**

Case B9 (Length 16.9 mm) Case B8 (Length 25.0 mm) + 2\*Coax Vias

Pitch between signal and ground probes 150 um



## Number of ground probes effects

Trace length 16.9 mm and no via Case A9 (two ground) Case B9 (four ground)



In this plots are comparing cases with different ground configurations, and it can be observed that incrementing the ground probes will improve the reflection loss and transmission loss



# Learned items

- 1. Every part of the signal path needs to optimized as the frequency increases. Vertical transitions are complicated and without optimization we can not meet customer requirements.
- 2. The C4 pattern must be designed in consultation with the probe head supplier to make sure the vertical probe performance will meet requirements. A simple and clean ground path can not be left to chance.

### Conclusions

- RF vertical probing is proven capable to 50 GHz
- This work can enable high density RF designs (50+ channels)
- This also enables high site count RF designs that are only limited by the non-RF resource requirements
- Enabling lower cost of test and an alternate to the limitations of today's RF probing solutions

We hope this convinces you vertical probing is the future of high density RF probe!

