

A FULLY AUTOMATIC ELECTRO-OPTICAL TEST SYSTEM ENABLING THE DEVELOPMENT OF A SILICON PHOTONICS TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM

Jeroen De Coster, Rafal Magdziak, Peter De Heyn, Erik Jan Marinissen, Marianna Pantouvaki, Joris Van Campenhout, Philippe Absil

Leuven, Belgium

Dan Rishavy, Joe Frankel, Kainoa Kekahuna, Kazuki Negishi, Mike Simmons, Eric Christenson



Beaverton, OR, USA

June 2-5,2019

OUTLINE

- What are we developing:
 - Silicon photonics platform
- What do we want to measure?
 - Platform-specific device parameters
- How do we measure
 - Baseline flow, test hardware
 - Python test executive
- Working in the CR environment
- Data analysis and reporting
- Setup monitoring
- Conclusion



Introduction

2019 CONFERENCE

OPTICAL INTERCONNECT LANDSCAPE

OPTICAL LINKS REPLACING ELECTRICAL LINKS AT PROGRESSIVELY SHORTER INTERCONNECT DISTANCES



Terabit-Scale Optical Interconnectivity will be needed by early 2020's

Optical Interconnects will
 move into the rack (<3m)

 Total Optical Transceiver
 Volume expected to increase >>10x

Objective:

Develop a Silicon Photonic Integration Platform for Optical Interconnect Scaling at all link distances.

What are we developing IMEC'S 50G SILICON PHOTONICS PLATFORM FULLY INTEGRATED 50GB/S NRZ, WDM SI PHOTONICS TECHNOLOGY



- Co-integration of the 50Gb/s building blocks in a single platform based on CMOS090
- Supports all dominant Si Photonics transceiver concepts pursued in industry & academia
- Available on 200mm [iSiPP200], under development on 300mm [iSiPP300]
 - Based on 220nm Silicon / 2000nm BoX SOI wafers

TODAY, FOR TOMORROW

2019 CONFERENCE

© imec/2019 – Jeroen De Coster – June 3 – PUBLIC

What are we developing

WTEST

2019 CONFERENCE

WAFER LEVEL TESTING FIBER AND LASER COUPLING STRUCTURES



In-Plane Coupler



Surface-Normal Grating Coupler



<3dB loss over 30nm

© imec/2019 – Jeroen De Coster – June 3 – **PUBLIC**

INTRODUCTION WHAT DO WE WANT TO MEASURE PROCESS CONTROL MONITORING

- Monitor technology-specific parameters
- Observe impact of process splits on these parameters during development of the technology platform

Propagation loss, bend loss				
Propagation loss, bend loss				
Insertion loss cross-talk				
Insertion loss, cross-talk				
Insertion loss				
Power coupling, excess loss				
Insertion loss, excess loss				
Dark current, responsivity				
Insertion loss,Vpi				
Propagation loss				

6

What do we want to measure

PROCESS CONTROL MONITOR STRUCTURES FIBER GRATING COUPLER (FGC) PERFORMANCE

- A straight waveguide with a grating coupler on both ends
- Measured quantity: wavelength dependent insertion loss, fiber to fiber
- Extracted device parameters
 - Fiber-to-waveguide insertion loss
 FtW_IL_AWL [dB]
 - Peak wavelength PWL [nm]
 - Peak wavelength IL FtW_IL [dB]
 - IdB bandwidth
 BWI [nm]





What do we want to measure

2019 CONFERENCE

PROCESS CONTROL MONITOR STRUCTURES PROPAGATION LOSS TEST

- A set of (spiral) waveguides with increasing lengths
- Measured quantity: wavelength dependent loss vs. length
- Linear regression of IL vs L, #bends to obtain propagation and bend loss



L. #bends

What do we want to measure

PROCESS CONTROL MONITOR STRUCTURES MODULATOR TEST

- Measured quantity: IV, wavelength dependent loss vs. DC bias
- Spectral response fitted with raised cosine
 - Data (dotted line)
 - Fit (solid line)
- Parameters extracted:
 - Insertion loss
 - Modulation efficiency Vpi







How do we measure

THOR TEST SYSTEM IN IMEC'S 200mm FAB FORMFACTOR CM300xi-SiPh PROBE STATION WITH SiPh-



© imec/2019 – Jeroen De Coster – June 3 – PUBLIC

32434

AUTONOMOUS SiPh MEASUREMENT ASSISTANT FOR CM300xi



FormFactor's Cascade CM300xi Probe Station Highly Stable and Robust Platform for Optical/Electrical Probing

2019 CONFERENCE

Positioning and Z Displacement Control

Integrated and Validated Single or Dual Sided 6 Axis Automated Positioning

- FFI On-site warranty and spares
- Interchangeable Fiber Arm
- Single Fiber or Array Holders
- Integrated Z Displacement
- Integrated Illumination
- Calibration Kit
- Integration Kit

SiPh-Tools: Automated Calibrations and Alignments



© imec/2019 – Jeroen De Coster – June 3 – **PUBLIC**

How do we measure

2019 CONFERENCE

THOR TEST SYSTEM

SCHEMATIC LAYOUT OF THE OPTICAL PATHS

- Dual tunable laser sources O- and C-band
- All single mode fiber (SMF28)
- Measurement pigtails with straight cleaved facets
- Nominal incidence angle 10° from vertical



calibrations

INSERTION LOSS CALIBRATION

NORMALIZATION OF MEASURED LOSS SPECTRA

- Measurement pigtails bypassed with a SMF28 patch cord
- Loss spectrum of components in the optical path measured over full range of TLS
- Measured spectra normalized against this spectrum







calibrations

INSERTION LOSS CALIBRATION

NORMALIZATION OF MEASURED LOSS SPECTRA

- Measurement pigtails bypassed with a SMF28 patch cord
- Loss spectrum of components in the optical path measured over full range of TLS
- Measured spectra normalized against this spectrum







calibrations

ABSOLUTE POWER CALIBRATION INPUT PIGTAIL POWER MEASUREMENT

- Free-space power meter is used to measure absolute power at tip of input pigtail
- At different Ge photo diode target wavelengths
- Required to estimate power at DUT for responsivity calculation*





*assuming equal coupling loss at input and output pigtails

How do we measure BASELINE MEASUREMENT FLOW

· Calibrate wafer-level insertion loss (IL) measurement • Calibrate absolute input power Calibration • Wafer transport, alignment, profiling • Set fibers at nominal height Load • Polarization tuning • Check probe contact • Run open/short measurement on a few dies for die in wafer map: for test site in test plan: Measure for *device* in test site: chuck movement to device chuck Z: 50µm below contact for passives for left, right gratings in device: fiber movement to input/output gratings align left/right fiber at $\lambda = \lambda_0$ [perform I sweep, detect peak $\lambda = \lambda_1$] [re-align at $\lambda = \lambda_1$] execute specified test recipe

How do we measure BASELINE MEASUREMENT FLOW

2019 CONFERENCE



How do we measure

TEST EXECUTIVE SOFTWARE

- Python code, hosted on Git
- Code for test execution and data analysis & reporting
- Test plan = Python script
 - Grating coupler and probe pad coordinates pulled from XML design library
 - Settings objects defined for different built-in test recipes
 - Test plan defines a sequence of PortCombo<Input, Output, Probe pad> each linked with test settings object



TEST EXECUTION

OPERATING MEASUREMENT TOOL IN CLASS 1000 CLEAN ROOM

- Motivation
 - Operating the tool in a clean room allows to pull out wafers, measure, give feedback to process integration
 - Resulting in faster feedback
 - Wafers are not lost for processing, i.e. more inspections are possible
- Hence we need to verify metal/particle contamination in the tool
 - Front side particles: from clean room ambient, electrical probing
 - Front side metal contam: probing
 - Back side particles: robot arm, wafer chuck
 - Back side metal: robot arm, wafer chuck



Operation in clean room

TOOL CONTAMINATION STATUS BACKSIDE PARTICLE MEASUREMENT

- Typical witness wafer work flow
 - Front-side particle measurement
 - Wafer flip
 - Go through normal load/unload cycle on tool
 - Wafer flip
 - Front-side particle measurement
- Visible marks of robot arm, pre-aligner, chuck lift pins





Operation in clean room

BACKSIDE PARTICLE MEASUREMENT VERIFICATION OF CLEANING PROCEDURE

- Initial tool status: measured ~100 level 5 wafers
- No cleaning done before loading witness wafers
- After 10 wafers, particle count drop below spec limit for target contamination level 3
- Chuck cleaned with IPA after wafer 12
- Then loaded wafers 13-24
- Particle count stabilized after another 3-4 wafers





Operation in clean room

BACKSIDE METAL CONTAMINATION – TXRF MEASUREMENT VERIFICATION OF CLEANING PROCEDURE

- Measurement without and with cleaning step prior to cycling witness wafer
 - Initial tool status: level 3



[©] imec/2019 – Jeroen De Coster – June 3 – PUBLIC

Operation in clean room FRONT SIDE PARTICLE MEASUREMENT VERIFICATION OF PROBING AND AMBIENT

2019 CONFERENCE

- Experiment #1: variable wafer dwell time on chuck: 1-2-4-8-12-24 hours
 - Only for dwell times > 10h, clear relationship between dwell time and #particles



Operation in clean room FRONT SIDE PARTICLE MEASUREMENT VERIFICATION OF PROBING AND AMBIENT

- Experiment #1: variable wafer dwell time on chuck: 1-2-4-8-12-24 hours
 - Only for dwell times > 10h, clear relationship between dwell time and #particles
- Experiment #2: probe touchdowns

2019 CONFERENCE



Data analysis and reporting AUTOMATED DATA ANALYSIS ANALYSIS WORK FLOW

- Python code in Git repository for data analysis
- Automation:
 - oio_pyro_service: Pyro 4 (Python remote objects) daemon encapsulated in a windows service (defined using win32serviceutil module) which exposes a number of analysis methods
 - oio_email_service: a windows service that encapsulates an email client; e-mail used to trigger analysis/report generation for a specified lot/wafer/...
 - Windows task scheduler to scan network folders for new data and automatically generate reports overnight
 - XML file meta data is used to select analysis method



Data analysis and reporting AUTOMATED DATA ANALYSIS REPORTING

- Output:
 - I csv file per lot and per component class
 - loss, photodiode, modulator, mmi, dc, ...
 - Aggregated data table with all components
 - Aggregated data table including wafer statistics and spec check
 - Target, USL and LSL defined per component/parameter and project
 - HTML report
 - Results are also consolidated per component type across different lots

SpecValue		InSt	DiesInSpec Y
Variability Chart for SpecValue	0 Quantiles90	N S	um M
Name SterName			
-1 EGCCTE ECWEC1DC 820 270 D04 -2.44 -2.50 0.21 -2.9	2 .2.10 1	100 14	00 10
	1 -1 90 1	1.00 14	00 10
T D10 -4.02 -3.00 0.22 -4.5	0 -3.53 1	100 6	00 4
2 D15 211 213 020 23	8 -1.82 1	4.00 14	00 10
	0 -1.02 1	4.00 14	00 10
D18 -267 -264 023 -29	3 -2.28 1	4 00 14	00 10
3 D20 -240 -245 025 -28	2 -2.09 1	4 00 14	00 10
L D23 -2.68 -2.61 0.25 -2.9	2 -2.20 1	4.00 14	00 10
M4128160416 -2.74 -2.74 0.31 -3.1	8 -2.29 1	4.00 14	.00 10
FGCCTE FCWFC1DC 630 378 FtW IL [dB] -2.47 -2.64 0.57 -3.6	1 -2.07 12	5.00 118	.00 93
water ▼ Comments			
L :: FOCCTE_FCWFc1DC_630_378			
L :: FGCCTE_FCWFC1DC_630_378 Verability Gauge Verability Gauge Specvalue			InSpec DiesInS
	tiles10 Quantiles9(N	InSpec DiesInS Sum
	tiles10 Quantiles90	N	InSpec DiesInS Sum
Variability Chart for SpecValue Peak Wavelength [nm] Variability Chart for SpecValue SpecValue ************************************	tiles10 Quantiles90	N 14.00	InSpec DiesInS Sum 14.00
IL :: FGCCTE_FCWFC1DC_630_378 Peak Wavelength [nm] Variability Gauge Summary Table Variability Chart for SpecValue 1000 FGCCTE_FCWFC1DC_530_378 Vertex (Median Mean Std Dev Ouan Manne SpecValue) 1000 FGCTE_FCWFC1DC_530_378 D4 11557.31 1557.13 1555 5.00	tiles10 Quantiles90 553.11 1560.15	N 14.00 14.00	InSpec DiesInS Sum 14.00 14.00
* * Peak Wavelength (nm) * * Summary Table * * Summary Table * Yariability Chart for SpecValue \$ * Yariability Chart for SpecValue \$ * Yariability Chart for SpecValue \$ *	tiles10 Quantiles90 553.11 1560.15 548.01 1559.54	14.00 14.00 14.00	InSpec DiesInS Sum 14.00 14.00 14.00
Variability Chart for SpecValue Variability Chart for SpecValue 1000 Summary Table 1000 SpecValue 1010 SpecValue 1010 SpecValue	tiles10 Quantiles90 553 11 1560 15 548.01 1563 04 561 14 1559 57 589 13 1575 77	14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00	InSpec DiesInS Sum 14.00 14.00 11.00
1:: FOCCTE_FCWFC1DC_630_378 Peak Wavelength [nm] Variability Chart for SpecValue * Variability Chart for SpecValue * Variability Chart for SpecValue * Summary Table * SpecValue	tiles10 Quantiles9 553.11 1560.15 548.01 1563.05 548.01 1563.5 568.13 1575.7 563.33 1575.7	N 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00	InSpec DiesInS Sum 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00
Variability Clauge Verability Charl for SpecValue ************************************	tiles10 Quantiles90 553.11 1560.15 548.01 1553.04 561.14 1569.5 561.3 1575.77 563.33 1572.05 66.64 1572.54	N 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00	InSpec DiesInS Sum 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00
Variability Chart for SpecValue SpecValue 1000 SpecValue SpecValue 1010 SpecVa	tiles10 Quantiles9 553 11 1560 15 561 14 1569 54 569 13 1575 75 566 94 1572 55 566 33 1572 03 566 64 1572 56 563 34 1569 61	14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00	InSpec DiesInS Sum 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00
Warability Charl for SpecValue SpecValue 1000 SpecValue SpecValue 1010 SpecValue SpecValue 1010 SpecValue SpecValue 1010 SpecValue SpecValue 1010 SpecVal	tiles10 Quantiles9(553.11 1560.15 548.01 1553.04 561.14 1569.56 561.33 1572.05 563.33 1562.55 563.34 1569.55	14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00	InSpec DiesInS Sum 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00
Veriability Chart for SpecValue SpecValue Variability Chart for SpecValue Variability Chart for SpecValue Variability Chart for SpecValue Variability Chart for SpecValue Variability Chart for SpecValue Variability Chart for SpecValu	tiles10 Quantiles90 553.11 1560.15 548.01 1563.0 560.13 1575.7 566.63.33 1575.7 566.64 1572.25 566.33 1556.5 563.34 1569.6 560.33 1556.5 59.71 1566.0	N 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00	InSpec DiesInS Sum 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00 14.00



<.3 dB COUPLED POWER REPEATABILITY

SYSTEM LEVEL CHALLENGE

THE SUBSYSTEMS THAT NEED TO COME TOGETHER TO ACHIEVE THIS MEASUREMENT **PERFORMANCE:**

- ~Im Kinematic Loop
 - Fiber Tip / Z Displacement Sensor
 - 9 DOF of two positioners (18 Axis)
 - Prober platen and Base
 - XYZ and Theta chuck stack
 - Wafer

Components

- Laser Source
- Polarization control
- Power Meter
- Characteristics needed to achieve:
 - Stable kinematic loop
 - Positioning calibrated to Probe Station
 - Well tuned servo control
 - Optimized scanning motion
 - Stable input power and polarization
 - Stable environment

2019 CONFERENCE

Test setup monitoring

MEASUREMENT REPEATABILIITY LOSS MEASUREMENT ON 2 WAFERS

Measurement sequence:

- Transport first wafer from cassette to pre-aligner
 - Rotate wafer at 87 deg
 - Transport wafer to ID reader
 - Read ID
 - Transport wafer to pre-aligner
 - Align wafer to 88.53 deg
 - Transport wafer to prober
 - Auto-align the wafer
 - Perform a Z profiling of the wafer (using autofocus on 7 dies)
 - Set chuck and fiber home position
 - Perform a loss measurement on 13 dies (5 spirals, LR fiber alignment on each spiral)
 - When measurement finishes, return fibers to home position
 - Transport wafer from prober to cassette
- Repeat above steps for all remaining wafers in the cassette (only two wafers in this experiment)

20x

MEASUREMENT REPEATABILIITY

LOSS MEASUREMENT ON 2 WAFERS

Wafer			(0,0)	(0,2)	(-1,-2)	(2,1)	(-2,2)	(2,-3)	(-3,0)	(3,-1)	(4,-2)	(-4,2)	(-4,-3)	(5,0)	(-5,-1)		StdDev/Mean
D05	Propagation loss [dB/cm]	Mean	-1.83	- 1.8	-1.74	-1.79	-1.8	-4.81	-1.79	-1.78	-2.03	-1.86	-7.16	-1.76	-3.27	-2.570769231	
		Std Dev	0.0042	0.0048	0.0044	0.0059	0.01	0.0428	0.0041	0.005 l	0.006	0.0064	0.0532	0.0063	0.0109	0.012623077	-0.004910233
		N	20	20	20	20	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		
	FtVV IL [dB]	Mean	-5.25	-5.25	-5.21	-5.25	-5.25	-5.8	-5.28	-5.18	-5.29	-5.28	-11.3	-5.23	-5.7	-5.79	
		Std Dev	0.0159	0.0159	0.0149	0.0161	0.0291	0.0238	0.0113	0.0151	0.0143	0.0129	0.02	0.0241	0.0112	0.017276923	-0.002983925
		Ν	20	20	20	20	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		
	Peak WL [nm]	Mean	1553	1552	1551	1553	55	1554	55	1553	1553	549	1564	1552	55	552.846 54	
		Std Dev	0.0839	0.0717	0.0785	0.0822	0.0858	0.097	0.0818	0.0717	0.0785	0.0632	0.0754	0.0959	0.0822	0.0806	5.19047E-05
		N	20	20	20	20	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		
	FtW IdB BW [nm]	Mean	33.16	34.13	33.97	33.39	34.3	33.75	34.07	33.54	33.35	34.77	36.54	33.3 I	34.23	34.03923077	
		Std Dev	0.0941	0.1027	0.0717	0.1053	0.0996	0.0822	0.0822	0.0839	0.052	0.0886	0.0717	0.0882	0.0822	0.084953846	0.002495763
		N	20	20	20	20	19	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20		
DI4	Propagation loss [dB/cm]	Mean	-2.16	-2.12	-2.12	-2.16	-2.24	-4.07	-2.11	-2.09	-2.09	-2.21	-6.67	-1.97	-2.36	-2.643846154	
		Std Dev	0.0089	0.0092	0.006	0.0059	0.0061	0.0088	0.0083	0.0072	0.009	0.0131	0.0152	0.0183	0.0035	0.009192308	-0.003476869
		Ν	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19		
	FtW IL [dB]	Mean	-5.62	-5.66	-5.63	-5.66	-5.68	-5.86	-5.72	-5.58	-5.63	-5.7	-12.7	-5.59	-5.72	-6.211538462	
		Std Dev	0.0264	0.0117	0.0116	0.0 37	0.0116	0.0177	0.0233	0.0142	0.024	0.0146	0.0213	0.02	0.0147	0.017292308	-0.002783901
		N	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19		
	Peak WL [nm]	Mean	1542	1539	1539	1542	1539	1541	1539	54	1540	1537	1555	1540	1538	1540.923077	
		Std Dev	0.0804	0.0795	0.0505	0.0795	0.0981	0.1047	0.0901	0.1182	0.0901	0.0813	0.0795	0.0996	0.0766	0.086776923	5.63149E-05
		N	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19		
	FtW IdB BW [nm]	Mean	36.06	37.39	37.21	36.81	37.58	37.2	37.07	36.81	36.6	38.29	40.29	36.55	37.85	37.36230769	
		Std Dev	0.0996	0.1011	0.1055	0.0958	0.111	0.0841	0.1011	0.1097	0.1047	0.0804	0.0766	0.1069	0.0736	0.096161538	0.002573758
		N	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19		

PROBE TODAY, FOR TOMORE 2019 CONFERENCE

Test setup monitoring MEASUREMENT REPRODUCIBILITY REFERENCE WAFER MEASUREMENTS

- Repeated measurement of same wafer/dies/structures, ~bi-weekly interval
- Evolution of 8 device parameters tracked
 - FtW IL, TE/TM, C/O band
 - Photo diode responsivity
 - Photo diode dark current
- Also implemented Western Electric rules

Test setup monitoring

MEASUREMENT REPRODUCIBILITY REFERENCE WAFER MEASUREMENTS

Corrective actions taken when required

Symptom	Possible cause	Corrective action					
ld too low	Bad probe contact	Adjust probe overtravel					
		Clean probe tips					
FtW IL out of spec	Incorrect fiber height	Adjust fiber heigt					
	Fiber facet not clean	Clean fiber facet					
	Polarization not well calibrated	Calibrate input SOP					
	Fiber facet damaged	Replace measurement pigtail					
	Setup loss not well calibrated	Calibrate setup loss					
Responsivity out of spec and FtW IL is also out of spec	First solve issue with FtW IL	See steps above					
Responsivity out of spec but FtW IL is in spec	Poor calibration of pigtail output power	Calibrate power at tip of input pigtail					
	Imbalance between input and output pigtail FtW IL	Check if input and output pigtails are at same height					

Test setup monitoring

MEASUREMENT REPRODUCIBILITY REFERENCE WAFER MEASUREMENTS

- Data analysis
 - Target value for each die/device/parameter defined as average of past measurements
 - Each data point is normalized as (i-th observation of quantity x_j)

$$p_{ji} = \frac{x_{ji} - \mu_i}{\sigma_i}$$

- For each die, parameters p_j (j=1...8) are plotted on a radar plot
- Green zone is $\pm I\sigma$
- Bold blue line = wafer average

CONCLUSION

- Running PCM measurements in clean room enables faster feedback to process integration engineers
 - Enables checking process changes in-line to tune parameters
- Inline measurements require a fully automated tool FormFactor CM300xi-SiPh
- Calibration procedures are in place to ensure accuracy of measured parameters
- Dual use case of measurement data
 - Ensure technology-specific device parameters are meeting PDK specifications
 - Validating the effect of process/design changes on those structures
- Contamination analysis verifies that the tool operates within cleanroom specification
 - Tool is not a source of contamination
- Test Setup Monitoring is used to demonstrate the tool is providing repeatable and reproducible measurements

THANKS FOR YOUR ATTENTION

unec

June 2-5,2019